CONFDENTIAL
UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE
TA EVALUATION

COURSE: 589 TUTORIAL # 01 SEMESTER: F12

TA NAME: Ali Mahdavi Amiri

Please rate from 1 (poor) to 10 (excellent). Comments may be added if applicable.

1) What overall score would you give this TA: Rating 1...10 10

2) TA had adequate knowledge of the course and was well prepared for tutorials. Rating 1...10 10

3) TA was clear and understandable. Rating 1...10 10

4) Tutorial class time was used effectively. Rating 1...10 10

5) TA was on time for tutorials. Rating 1...10 10

6) TA was approachable and helpful. Rating 1...10 10

7) TA provided sufficient feedback, was efficient in grading and returning assignments. Rating 1...10 10

8) TA's strengths were: Very knowledgeable on topic, very friendly/approachable, ran tutorials well

9) TA's weaknesses were: At times the lectures and tutorials were a little disjointed (reintroducing a topic twice or starting a topic early) but didn't really cause issues and was rare

10) Would you recommend this TA for further appointments for this course? Yes or No

This information is being collected under the authority of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act (FOIP) Section 329. The information you provide on this rating instrument is intended for use by the CPSC Department in assessing teaching assistants. Your constructive comments will be read and taken into consideration during the hiring process. These forms will be held until after your TA has submitted all course grades.

Fall 2012
CONFIDENTIAL
UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE
TA EVALUATION

COURSE: CPSC 587
TUTORIAL #: 
SEMESTER: 

TA NAME: 

Ali: Mahmoud; M.,

Please rate from 1 (poor) to 10 (excellent). Comments may be added if applicable.

1) What overall score would you give this TA: Rating 1...10 
   
2) TA had adequate knowledge of the course and was well prepared for tutorials. Rating 1...10 
   
3) TA was clear and understandable. Rating 1...10 
   
4) Tutorial class time was used effectively. Rating 1...10 
   
5) TA was on time for tutorials. Rating 1...10 
   
6) TA was approachable and helpful. Rating 1...10 
   
7) TA provided sufficient feedback, was efficient in grading and returning assignments. Rating 1...10 
   
8) TA's strengths were: 

9) TA's weaknesses were: 

10) Would you recommend this TA for further appointments for this course? Yes or No

This information is being collected under the authority of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act (FOIP) Section 329. The information you provide on this rating instrument is intended for use by the CPSC Department in assessing teaching assistants. Your constructive comments will be read and taken into consideration during the hiring process. These forms will be held until after your TA has submitted all course grades.
COURSE: CPSC 589     TUTORIAL # _______    SEMESTER:   F12

TA NAME:  Ali Mohajer Amiri

Please rate from 1 (poor) to 10 (excellent). Comments may be added if applicable.

1) What overall score would you give this TA: Rating 1...10 10

2) TA had adequate knowledge of the course and was well prepared for tutorials. Rating 1...10 10

3) TA was clear and understandable. Rating 1...10 10

4) Tutorial class time was used effectively. Rating 1...10 10

5) TA was on time for tutorials. Rating 1...10 10

6) TA was approachable and helpful. Rating 1...10 10

7) TA provided sufficient feedback, was efficient in grading and returning assignments. Rating 1...10 10

8) TA's strengths were: Always available to answer questions, clear and understandable, very knowledgeable. An excellent TA.

9) TA's weaknesses were:

10) Would you recommend this TA for further appointments for this course? Yes or No

This information is being collected under the authority of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act (FOIP) Section 329. The information you provide on this rating instrument is intended for use by the CPSC Department in assessing teaching assistants. Your constructive comments will be read and taken into consideration during the hiring process. These forms will be held until after your TA has submitted all course grades.
CONFIDENTIAL
UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE
TA EVALUATION

COURSE: 5
TUTORIAL #: _____
SEMESTER: _____

TA NAME: Ali Mahtani Amiri

Please rate from 1 (poor) to 10 (excellent). Comments may be added if applicable.

1) What overall score would you give this TA: Rating 1...10  70

2) TA had adequate knowledge of the course and was well prepared for tutorials. Rating 1...10  10

3) TA was clear and understandable. Rating 1...10  10

4) Tutorial class time was used effectively. Rating 1...10  10

5) TA was on time for tutorials. Rating 1...10  10

6) TA was approachable and helpful. Rating 1...10  10

7) TA provided sufficient feedback, was efficient in grading and returning assignments. Rating 1...10  10

8) TA's strengths were:

9) TA's weaknesses were:

10) Would you recommend this TA for further appointments for this course? Yes or No

This information is being collected under the authority of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act (FOIP) Section 329. The information you provide on this rating instrument is intended for use by the CPSC Department in assessing teaching assistants. Your constructive comments will be read and taken into consideration during the hiring process. These forms will be held until after your TA has submitted all course grades.
CONFIDENTIAL
UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE
TA EVALUATION

COURSE: 689  TUTORIAL # 1  SEMESTER: Fall 2012
TA NAME: Atef Mahdavi Amiri

Please rate from 1 (poor) to 10 (excellent). Comments may be added if applicable.

1) What overall score would you give this TA: Rating 1...10  10
2) TA had adequate knowledge of the course and was well prepared for tutorials. Rating 1...10  10
3) TA was clear and understandable. Rating 1...10  10
4) Tutorial class time was used effectively. Rating 1...10  10
5) TA was on time for tutorials. Rating 1...10  10
6) TA was approachable and helpful. Rating 1...10  10
7) TA provided sufficient feedback, was efficient in grading and returning assignments. Rating 1...10  10
8) TA's strengths were:
9) TA's weaknesses were:
10) Would you recommend this TA for further appointments for this course? Yes or No

This information is being collected under the authority of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act (FOIP) Section 329. The information you provide on this rating instrument is intended for use by the CPSC Department in assessing teaching assistants. Your constructive comments will be read and taken into consideration during the hiring process. These forms will be held until after your TA has submitted all course grades.
Please rate from 1 (poor) to 10 (excellent). Comments may be added if applicable.

1) What overall score would you give this TA: Rating 1...10  
2) TA had adequate knowledge of the course and was well prepared for tutorials. Rating 1...10  
3) TA was clear and understandable. Rating 1...10  
4) Tutorial class time was used effectively. Rating 1...10  
5) TA was on time for tutorials. Rating 1...10  
6) TA was approachable and helpful. Rating 1...10  
7) TA provided sufficient feedback, was efficient in grading and returning assignments. Rating 1...10  
8) TA's strengths were: Describing course material in detail. Very well prepared and accessible.  
9) TA's weaknesses were: Too much material to cover in each lab  
10) Would you recommend this TA for further appointments for this course? Yes or No

This information is being collected under the authority of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act (FOIP) Section 329. The information you provide on this rating instrument is intended for use by the CPSC Department in assessing teaching assistants. Your constructive comments will be read and taken into consideration during the hiring process. These forms will be held until after your TA has submitted all course grades.
CONFIDENTIAL
UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE
TA EVALUATION

COURSE: CPSC 589  TUTORIAL # T01  SEMESTER: F 2012

TA NAME: Ali Mahdavi Amiri

Please rate from 1 (poor) to 10 (excellent). Comments may be added if applicable.

1) What overall score would you give this TA: Rating 1...10  9

2) TA had adequate knowledge of the course and was well prepared for tutorials. Rating 1...10  10

3) TA was clear and understandable. Rating 1...10  9

4) Tutorial class time was used effectively. Rating 1...10  9

5) TA was on time for tutorials. Rating 1...10  10

6) TA was approachable and helpful. Rating 1...10  9

7) TA provided sufficient feedback, was efficient in grading and returning assignments. Rating 1...10  10

8) TA's strengths were: He knows his stuff, he is very confident and efficient.

9) TA's weaknesses were:

10) Would you recommend this TA for further appointments for this course? Yes or No

This information is being collected under the authority of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act (FOIP) Section 329. The information you provide on this rating instrument is intended for use by the CPSC Department in assessing teaching assistants. Your constructive comments will be read and taken into consideration during the hiring process. These forms will be held until after your TA has submitted all course grades.
CONFIDENTIAL
UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE
TA EVALUATION

COURSE: CPSC 569           TUTORIAL # MWF 11-12           SEMESTER: F12

TA NAME: Ali Maleki Amiri

Please rate from 1 (poor) to 10 (excellent). Comments may be added if applicable.

1) What overall score would you give this TA? Rating 1...10  10

2) TA had adequate knowledge of the course and was well prepared for tutorials. Rating 1...10

3) TA was clear and understandable. Rating 1...10  10

4) Tutorial class time was used effectively. Rating 1...10  10

5) TA was on time for tutorials. Rating 1...10  10

6) TA was approachable and helpful. Rating 1...10  10

7) TA provided sufficient feedback, was efficient in grading and returning assignments. Rating 1...10  10

8) TA's strengths were:
   wanted students to really learn the material, was very clear, and very approachable

9) TA's weaknesses were:
   none

10) Would you recommend this TA for further appointments for this course?  Yes or No

This information is being collected under the authority of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act (FOIP) Section 329. The information you provide on this rating instrument is intended for use by the CPSC Department in assessing teaching assistants. Your constructive comments will be read and taken into consideration during the hiring process. These forms will be held until after your TA has submitted all course grades.
CONFIDENTIAL
UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE
TA EVALUATION

COURSE: CPSC 5999 TUTORIAL # 01 SEMESTER: Fall

TA NAME: Ali Mahdavi Amiri

Please rate from 1 (poor) to 10 (excellent). Comments may be added if applicable.

1) What overall score would you give this TA: Rating 1...10 7

2) TA had adequate knowledge of the course and was well prepared for tutorials. Rating 1...10 9

3) TA was clear and understandable. Rating 1...10 6

4) Tutorial class time was used effectively. Rating 1...10 9

5) TA was on time for tutorials. Rating 1...10 7

6) TA was approachable and helpful. Rating 1...10 6

7) TA provided sufficient feedback, was efficient in grading and returning assignments. Rating 1...10 6

8) TA's strengths were:

He knows the subject matter

9) TA's weaknesses were:

Not always clear in the way he explains things

10) Would you recommend this TA for further appointments for this course? Yes or No

Yes

This information is being collected under the authority of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act (FOIP) Section 329. The information you provide on this rating instrument is intended for use by the CPSC Department in assessing teaching assistants. Your constructive comments will be read and taken into consideration during the hiring process. These forms will be held until after your TA has submitted all course grades.
CONFIDENTIAL
UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE
TA EVALUATION

COURSE: CPSC 589
TUTORIAL #: 
SEMESTER: E12.

TA NAME: Ali Mahdavi Amiri

Please rate from 1 (poor) to 10 (excellent). Comments may be added if applicable.

1) What overall score would you give this TA: Rating 1...10 ______

2) TA had adequate knowledge of the course and was well prepared for tutorials. Rating 1...10 ______

3) TA was clear and understandable. Rating 1...10 ______

4) Tutorial class time was used effectively. Rating 1...10 ______

5) TA was on time for tutorials. Rating 1...10 ______

6) TA was approachable and helpful. Rating 1...10 ______

7) TA provided sufficient feedback, was efficient in grading and returning assignments. Rating 1...10 ______

8) TA's strengths were:

   Very knowledgeable.

9) TA's weaknesses were:

10) Would you recommend this TA for further appointments for this course? Yes or No
CONFIDENTIAL
UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE
TA EVALUATION

COURSE: CPSC 584  TUTORIAL # 1  SEMESTER: F 2012
TA NAME: Ali Maki

Please rate from 1 (poor) to 10 (excellent). Comments may be added if applicable.

1) What overall score would you give this TA: Rating 1...10  8

2) TA had adequate knowledge of the course and was well prepared for tutorials. Rating 1...10  8

3) TA was clear and understandable. Rating 1...10  7

4) Tutorial class time was used effectively. Rating 1...10  8

5) TA was on time for tutorials. Rating 1...10  9

6) TA was approachable and helpful. Rating 1...10  10

7) TA provided sufficient feedback, was efficient in grading and returning assignments. Rating 1...10  8

8) TA's strengths were: helpful when asked

9) TA's weaknesses were: examples had little lead-in

10) Would you recommend this TA for further appointments for this course? Yes or No

This information is being collected under the authority of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act (FOIP) Section 329. The information you provide on this rating instrument is intended for use by the CPSC Department in assessing teaching assistants. Your constructive comments will be read and taken into consideration during the hiring process. These forms will be held until after your TA has submitted all course grades.