CPSC 531: Random Numbers

Jonathan Hudson Department of Computer Science University of Calgary http://www.ucalgary.ca/~hudsonj/531F17

Introduction

- In simulations, we generate random values for variables with a specified distribution
 - ▶ E.g., model service times using the exponential distribution
- Generation of random values is a two step process
 - 1. Random number generation: Generate random numbers uniformly distributed between 0 and 1
 - 2. Random variate generation: Transform the above generated random numbers to obtain numbers satisfying the desired distribution

Pseudo Random Numbers

Common pseudo random number generators determine the next random number as a function of the previously generated random number (i.e., recursive calculations are applied)

 $x_n = f(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}, x_{x-3}, \dots)$

- Random numbers generated, are therefore, deterministic. That is, sequence of random numbers is known a priori (BEFORE) given the starting number (called the seed). For this reason, random numbers are known as pseudo random.
 - True random number generator's would produce numbers that are independent of those previous
 - We can determine quality of uniformity and independence of pseudo RNG with statistical tests

 $x_n = (5x_{n-1} + 1) \mod 16$

 $x_n = (5x_{n-1} + 1) \mod 16$

- Starting with $x_0 = 5$:
- The first 32 numbers obtained by the above procedure 10, 3, 0, 1, 6, 15, 12, 13, 2, 11, 8, 9, 14, 7, 4, 5, 10, 3, 0, 1, 6, 15, 12, 13, 2, 11, 8, 9, 14, 7, 4, 5.

 $x_n = (5x_{n-1} + 1) \mod 16$

Starting with $x_0 = 5$:

The first 32 numbers obtained by the above procedure 10, 3, 0, 1, 6, 15, 12, 13, 2, 11, 8, 9, 14, 7, 4, 5, 10, 3, 0, 1, 6, 15, 12, 13, 2, 11, 8, 9, 14, 7, 4, 5.

By dividing x's by 16: 0.6250, 0.1875, 0.0000, 0.0625, 0.3750, 0.9375, 0.7500, 0.8125, 0.1250, 0.6875, 0.5000, 0.5625, 0.8750, 0.4375, 0.2500, 0.3125, 0.6250, 0.1875, 0.0000, 0.0625, 0.3750, 0.9375, 0.7500, 0.8125, 0.1250, 0.6875, 0.5000, 0.5625, 0.8750, 0.4375, 0.2500, 0.3125.

 $x_n = (5x_{n-1} + 1) \mod 16$

Starting with $x_0 = 5$:

- The first 32 numbers obtained by the above procedure 10, 3, 0, 1, 6, 15, 12, 13, 2, 11, 8, 9, 14, 7, 4, 5, 10, 3, 0, 1, 6, 15, 12, 13, 2, 11, 8, 9, 14, 7, 4, 5.
- The length of the sequence before full repetition is known as the cycle length (period) This example has a period of 16
 - Some generators do not repeat an initial portion of the sequence referred to as the "tail" of the sequence

Desirable Properties

Random number generation routines should be:

- Computationally efficient
- Portable
- Have sufficiently long cycle
- Replicable (given the same seed)
 - Helps program debugging
 - Helpful when comparing alternative system design
- Should have provision to generate several streams of random numbers
- Closely approximate the ideal statistical properties of uniformity and independence.

Linear Congruential Generator (LCG)

- Commonly used algorithm
- A sequence of integers x_1, x_2, \dots between 0 and m-1 is generated according to

 $x = (a * x_{i-1} + c) \mod m$

- where multiplier a and increment c are constants, m is the modulus and x₀ is the seed (or starting value)
- Random numbers u_1, u_2, \dots are given by $u_i = \frac{X_i}{m}$ $i = 1, 2, \dots$
- The sequence can be reproduced if the seed is known

More on LCG

- Selection of the values of a, c, m, and X₀ affects the statistical properties of the generator and its cycle length.
- If c = 0, the generator is called **Multiplicative LCG**. (Ex Lehmer page 39)

$$x_n = (5 * x_{n-1}) \mod 2^5$$

• If $c \neq 0$, the generator is called **Mixed** LCG

$$x_n = ((2^{34} + 1) * x_{n-1} + 1) \mod 2^{35}$$

Even more on LCG

Can have at most m distinct integers in the sequence

- As soon as any number in the sequence is repeated, the whole sequence is repeated
- > **Period:** number of distinct integers generated before repetition occurs
- Problem: Instead of continuous, the u_i's can only take on discrete values 0, 1/m, 2/m,..., (m-1)/m
 - Solution: *m* should be selected to be very large in order to achieve the effect of a continuous distribution (typically, *m* > 10⁹)
- Most digital computers use a binary representation of numbers
 - > Speed and efficiency are aided by a modulus, m, to be (or close to) a power of 2

Seed Selection

$$x_n = (5 * x_{n-1}) \mod 2^5$$

Using a seed of $x_0 = 1$:
5, 25, 29, 17, 21, 9, 13, 1, 5,...
Period = 8

With $x_0 = 2$:

10, 18, 26, 2, 10,...
Period is only 4

Possible period 32

Note: Full period is a nice property but uniformity and independence are more important

Seed Selection

Seed selection

- > Any value in the sequence can be used to "seed" the generator
- Do not use random seeds: such as the time of day
 - Cannot reproduce. Cannot guarantee non-overlap.
- Do not use zero:
 - Fine for mixed LCGs
 - But multiplicative LCGs will stuck at zero
- Avoid even values:
 - For multiplicative LCG with modulus $m=2^k$, the seed should be odd
- Do not use successive seeds
 - May result in strong correlation

Example RNGs

- A currently popular multiplicative LCG is: $x_n = (7^5 * x_{n-1}) \mod (2^{31} - 1)$
 - ▶ 2^{31} -1 is a prime number and 7^5 is a primitive root of it → Full period of 2^{31} -2.
- This generator has been extensively analyzed and shown to be rather good
 - Modulus is largest 32 bit integer prime
 - ▶ $a = (7^5) \mod (2^{31} 1) = 16807$
- a = 48271 has been shown to generate slightly more random sequences

Myths About Random-Number Generation

A complex set of operations leads to random results.

It is better to use simple operations that can be analytically evaluated for randomness.

Random numbers are unpredictable.

Easy to compute the parameters, *a*, *c*, and *m* from a few numbers => LCGs are unsuitable for cryptographic applications

Myths (Cont)

Some seeds are better than others. May be true for some.

 $x_n = (9806 * x_{n-1} + 1) \mod (2^{17} - 1)$

- Works correctly for all seeds except $x_0 = 37911$
- Stuck at x_n = 37911 forever
- Such generators should be avoided
- > Any nonzero seed in the valid range should produce an equally good sequence
- Generators whose period or randomness depends upon the seed should not be used, since an unsuspecting user may not remember to follow all the guidelines

$$2^{17} - 1 = 131071$$

Myths (Cont)

Accurate implementation is not important.

RNGs must be implemented without any overflow or truncation For example:

 $x_n = 1103515245x_{n-1} + 12345 \mod 2^{31}$

Straightforward multiplication above may produce overflow.

 $2^{31} = 2147483648$

Testing Random Number Generators

- Two categories of test
 - Test for uniformity
 - Test for independence
- > Passing a test is only a necessary condition and not a sufficient condition
 - i.e., if a generator fails a test it implies it is bad but if a generator passes a test it does not necessarily imply it is good.

More on Testing ...

- Testing is not necessary if a well-known simulation package is used or if a well-tested generator is used
- In what follows, we focus on "empirical" tests, that is tests that are applied to an actual sequence of random numbers
 - Chi-Square Test
 - KS Test

Chi-Square Test

- Prepare a histogram of the empirical data with k cells
- Let O_i and E_i be the observed and expected frequency of the *i*th cell, respectively. Compute the following:

$$X_0^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$$

> X_0^2 has a Chi-Square distribution with (k-1) degrees of freedom

Chi-Square Test (continued ...)

- Define a null hypothesis, H(0), that observations come from a specified distribution
- For the null hypothesis cannot be rejected at a significance level of α if

$$X_0^2 < X_{[1-\alpha,k-s-1]}^2$$

meaning of significance level $\alpha = P(reject H(0) | H(0) is true)$

- > s is number parameters in the distribution s = 1 poisson s = 2 normal
- There is a Chi-Square table that comparison can be made to

Chi-Square Test Example

Interval	Oi	Ei	Chi-Sq
1	50	50	0
2	48	50	0.08
3	49	50	0.02
4	42	50	1.28
5	52	50	0.08
6	45	50	0.5
7	63	50	3.38
8	54	50	0.32
9	50	50	0
10	47	50	0.18
	500		5.84

- Example: 500 random numbers generated using a random number generator; observations categorized into cells at k = 10 intervals of 0.1, between 0 and 1. At level of significance of 0.1, are these numbers IID U(0,1)?
- $> X_0^2 = 5.84$
- Chi-Sq table $X_{[0.9,9]}^2 = 14.68$
- Hypothesis accepted at significance level of 0.10.

More on Chi-Square Test

- Errors in cells with small E_i 's affect the test statistics more than cells with large E_i 's.
- Minimum size of E_i debated
 - recommends a value of 3 or more; if not combine adjacent cells.
- Test designed for discrete distributions and large sample sizes only. For continuous distributions, Chi-Square test is only an approximation
 - ▶ (i.e., level of significance holds only for $n \to \infty$).

Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) Test

- Difference between observed CDF $F_0(x)$ and expected CDF $F_e(x)$ should be small; formalizes the idea behind the Q-Q plot.
- Step 1: Rank observations from smallest to largest:

 $Y_1 \le Y_2 \le Y_3 \le \dots \le Y_n$

- Step 2: Define $F_o(x) = (\#i: Y_i \le x)/n$
 - Number of samples <= x / n</p>
- Step 3: Compute K as follows:
- $K = \max_{x} |F_e(x) F_0(x)|$
- $K = \max_{1 \le j \le n} \{ \frac{j}{n} F_e(Y_j), F_e(Y_j) \frac{j-1}{n} \}$

Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) Test

Yj	j	$\frac{j}{n} - F_e(Y_j)$	$F_e(Y_j) - \frac{j-1}{n}$
5	1	0.017896	0.048771
6	2	0.075098	-0.00843
6	3	0.141765	-0.0751
17	4	0.110331	-0.04366
25	5	0.112134	-0.04547
39	6	0.077057	-0.01039
60	7	0.015478	0.051188
61	8	0.076684	-0.01002
72	9	0.086752	-0.02009
74	10	0.143781	-0.07711
104	11	0.086788	-0.02012
150	12	0.02313	0.043537
170	13	0.04935	0.017316
195	14	0.075607	-0.00894
229	15	0.101266	-0.0346
	MAX	0.143781	0.051188

- Example: Test if given population is exponential with parameter $\beta =$ 0.01; that is $F_e(x) = 1 - e^{-\beta x}$;
- Max is less so observations pass test.

Vs.

K-S Test	Chi-Square Test
 Small Samples Continuous Distributions Differences between observed	 Large Samples Discrete Distributions Differences between observed
and expected cumulative	and hypothesized probabilities Groups observations into small
probabilities Uses each observation in the	number of cells Cells sizes affect the conclusion
sample without any grouping Cell size is not a problem Exact	but no firm guidelines Approximate