QuickSort:
- A recursive “Divide and Conquer” sorting algorithm
- A simple deterministic version uses
  - $\Theta(n^2)$ operations to sort an array of size $n$ in the worst case
  - $\Theta(n \log n)$ operations on average, assuming all relative orderings of the (distinct) input are equally likely
- The expected number of operations used by a randomized version is in $O(n \log n)$ for any input array of size $n$
- Reference: *Introduction to Algorithms*, Chapter 7. This textbook discusses a different version of this algorithm.
Partitioning

A procedure that is called repeatedly by the main Quicksort algorithm (Step 1 in the previous description)

**Objective:** Reorder the values in a given portion of the array so that
- all values less than or equal to some “pivot element” are at the beginning, and
- all values greater than this pivot element are at the end.

Return the location of the pivot element as output

Number of operations used is linear in the length of the part of the array that is being processed

Deterministic Partitioning

**Idea:**
- Pivot element used is the last element in the part of the array being processed. Other versions of this algorithm use the first element instead.
- Sweep from left to right over the array, exchanging elements as needed, so that values less than or equal to the pivot element are all located before values that are greater than the pivot element, in the part of the array that has been processed

**Pseudocode**

Pseudocode

```pseudocode
DPartition(A, p, r)
{Assumption: 0 ≤ p ≤ r < length(A)}
    x = A[r]
    i = p - 1; j = p
    while j < r do
        if A[j] ≤ x then
            i = i + 1
        end if
        j = j + 1
    end while
    return i + 1
```

**Example**

Consider the execution of `DPartition(A, 3, 10)` for `A` as follows:

```
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
... 2 6 4 1 7 3 0 5 ...
```


```
j = 3:
    i = , j = 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
    ... ...
```

```
j = 4:
    i = , j = 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
    ... ...
```
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Partial Correctness: Loop Invariant

Suppose $p$ and $r$ are integers such that $0 \leq p \leq r < \text{length}(A)$.

If $k \geq 0$ and the for-loop is executed at least $k$ times, then the following conditions are satisfied at the beginning of the $k$th execution of the loop body:

1. $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $p \leq j \leq r - 1$, and $j = p + k$.
2. $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $p - 1 \leq i \leq j - 1$.
3. The following hold for each integer $\ell$ such that $p \leq \ell \leq r$:
   - If $p \leq \ell \leq i$ then $A[\ell] \leq x$.
   - If $i + 1 \leq \ell \leq j - 1$ then $A[\ell] > x$.
   - If $\ell = r$ then $A[\ell] = x$.
4. Entries of $A$ are reordered but otherwise unchanged.

Note: At the end of this execution of the loop body, either $j = i + 1$, or $j > i + 1$ and $A[j] > x$ as well.

Partial Correctness: Application of Loop Invariant

Once again, suppose that $p$ and $r$ are integers such that $0 \leq p \leq r < \text{length}(A)$. Let $x$ be the value that was stored at location $r$ of $A$ before the program was called.

If the program halts then the following conditions are satisfied on termination (that is, when the return statement is executed):

1. $p - 1 \leq i \leq r - 1$.
2. The following relationships hold for each integer $\ell$ such that $p \leq \ell \leq r$:
   - If $p \leq \ell \leq i$ then $A[\ell] \leq x$.
   - If $\ell = i + 1$ then $A[\ell] = x$.
   - If $i + 2 \leq \ell \leq r$ then $A[\ell] > x$.
3. Entries of $A$ are reordered but otherwise unchanged.
### Loop Variant: \( r - j \)

**Justification:**

The initial value of the loop variant is \( r - p \)
Therefore the loop body is executed exactly \( r - p \) times.

Each execution of the loop body requires (at most) a constant number of operations.
Therefore the cost to execute the loop is in \( O(r - p) \).

Since the rest of the program only uses a constant number of operations, it is clear that the program terminates and that it uses \( O(r - p) \) operations in the worst case.

### Randomized Partitioning

**Idea:** Choose the pivot element randomly from the set of values in the part of the array to be processed. Then proceed as before.

\[ \text{RPartition}(A, p, r) \]

\{ Assumption: \( 0 \leq p \leq r < \text{length}(A) \} \]

Choose \( i \) randomly and uniformly from the set of integers between \( p \) and \( r \) (inclusive).
return \( \text{DPartition}(A, p, r) \)

### Randomized Partitioning: Analysis

Suppose \( p \) and \( r \) are integers such that \( 0 \leq p \leq r < \text{length}(A) \) and that \( \text{RPartition} \) is called with inputs \( A, p \) and \( r \).

- This algorithm terminates using \( O(r - p) \) operations.
- Let \( q \) be the value that is returned on termination. Then the following conditions hold on termination.
  - \( p \leq q \leq r \).
  - If \( \ell \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( p \leq \ell < q \) then \( A[\ell] \leq A[q] \).
  - If \( \ell \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( q < \ell \leq r \) then \( A[\ell] > A[q] \).
- If the entries on \( A \) are distinct then \( q = i \) with probability \( r - p + 1 \) for each integer \( i \) between \( p \) and \( r \) (inclusive).
- Entries of \( A \) are reordered but otherwise unchanged.

### Deterministic Quicksort

**Idea:** Partition the array, then recursively sort the pieces before and after the pivot element.

Call \( \text{quickSort}(A, 0, \text{length}(A) - 1) \) to sort \( A \):

\[ \text{quickSort}(A, p, r) \]

if \( p < r \) then
  \( q = \text{DPartition}(A, p, r) \)
  \( \text{quickSort}(A, p, q - 1) \)
  \( \text{quickSort}(A, q + 1, r) \)
end if
Randomized Quicksort

Idea: Same as deterministic Quicksort, except that randomized partitioning is used.

Call \texttt{RQuicksort}(A, 0, \text{length}(A) - 1) to sort A:
\begin{verbatim}
RQuicksort(A, p, r)
  if p < r then
    q = \texttt{RPartition}(A, p, r)
    RQuicksort(A, p, q - 1)
    RQuicksort(A, q + 1, r)
  end if
\end{verbatim}

Worst-Case Analysis of Deterministic Quicksort

Theorem 1

Let \( T(n) \) be the number of steps used by QuickSort to sort an array of length \( n \) in the worst case. Then

\[
T(n) \leq \begin{cases} 
  c_0 & \text{if } n \leq 1, \\
  c_1 n + \max_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} (T(k) + T(n - 1 - k)) & \text{if } n \geq 2.
\end{cases}
\]

Justification: Base case requires constant \# of steps. General case:

Application: This recurrence can be used to prove that \( T(n) \in O(n^2) \) using induction on \( k \).

Average-Case Analysis of Deterministic Quicksort

Consider an application of this algorithm when the input is an array \( A \) with \( n \) distinct entries

Consider a binary search tree \( T \) storing the same values, with

- the “partition” element at the root
- the left subtree formed by considering the application of the algorithm to the left subarray
- the right subtree formed by considering the application of the algorithm to the right subarray

Useful Property: The number of steps used by the algorithm is at most

\[
 cn(\text{height}(T) + 1)
\]

for some positive constant \( c \).
Analysis of Deterministic Quicksort

**Assumption for Analysis:** Entries of $A$ are distinct and all $n!$ relative orderings of these inputs are equally likely.

**Useful Property:** The corresponding binary search trees $T$ are generated with the probability distribution discussed in the “Average Case Analysis of Binary Search Trees.”

Bounds on expected height of trees from those notes can now be applied.

**Conclusion:** The expected cost of Quicksort is in $O(n \log n)$ if the above assumption for analysis is valid.

---

Analysis of Randomized Quicksort

The previous analysis can be modified to establish that the “worst-case expected cost” of Randomized Quicksort to sort an array with distinct entries is in $O(n \log n)$ as well.

**Note:** it is possible to obtain a worst-case running time of $\Theta(n \log n)$ careful (but deterministic) selection of the pivot (see *Introduction to Algorithms*, Chapter 9.3)

---

An Annoying Problem

**An Annoying Problem:** Both versions of Quicksort, given above, use $\Theta(n^2)$ operations to “sort” an array of length $n$ if the array contains $n$ copies of the same value!

The different version of Quicksort found in the textbook has the same problem!

The tutorial exercises on quickSort discuss a modification to partition that addresses this problem.

---
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