

Lecture #9: Nondeterministic Time — Speedup, Emulation, and a Nondeterministic Time Hierarchy Theorem

Lecture Presentation

This lecture describes a surprisingly simple simulation of a k -tape nondeterministic Turing machine

$$M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, q_{\text{accept}}, q_{\text{reject}})$$

by a three-tape nondeterministic Turing machine, with input alphabet $\widehat{\Sigma} = \Sigma \cup \{\#\}$ (for a symbol $\#$ that does not belong to Σ) — whose correctness might not be obvious. This algorithm processes a string $\mu \in \widehat{\Sigma}^*$ which should encode both an input string ω for M , and a bound T on the number of steps that M should take. It is summarized in Figure 2 (which can be found several pages after this one).

As noted above, it might not be obvious that this algorithm is correct — so it might be helpful to consider a *different* process, which uses $k + 2$ tapes, that is shown in Figure 1, before that.

The correctness of the simulation given in the lecture notes can be established as follows:

1. Establish the correctness of the simulation that is summarized in Figure 1.
2. Establish that if the simulation in Figure 1 is correct, then the simulation in Figure 2 must be correct too.
3. Conclude that the simulation in Figure 2 is correct.

Note: The simulation, being considered here, is adapted (in the lecture notes) to describe a “nondeterministic universal Turing machine” which decides a language $A_{\text{NTM}+1+\text{Time}}$. This Turing machine (and results that can be proved about it) is then used to establish a “Nondeterministic Time Hierarchy Theorem” — so its correctness is (arguably) important.

```

On input  $\mu \in \hat{\Sigma}^*$  {
1.  if ( $\mu$  is  $\omega\#^T$  for a string  $\omega \in \Sigma^*$  and a positive integer  $T$ ) {
2.    Nondeterministically guess a sequence  $\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_t$  of possible moves,
      for an integer  $t$  such that  $1 \leq t \leq T$ 
3.    for ( $1 \leq i \leq t$ ) {
4.      if (initial state in  $\chi_i$  makes sense) {
5.        for ( $1 \leq j \leq k$ ) {
6.          if (management of Tape #j by  $\chi_i$  does not make sense) {
7.            reject
          }
        }
      }
    }
8.    if ( $\chi_t$  take  $M$  to state  $q_{\text{accept}}$ ) {
9.      accept
    } else {
10.   reject
    }
11.  reject
}

```

Figure 1: Another simulation of a k -Tape Nondeterministic Turing Machine

Correctness of the Simulation in Figure 1


```

On input  $\mu \in \hat{\Sigma}^*$  {
1.  if ( $\mu$  is  $\omega\#^T$  for a string  $\omega \in \Sigma^*$  and a positive integer  $T$ ) {
2.    Nondeterministically guess a sequence  $\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_t$  of possible moves,
      for an integer  $t$  such that  $1 \leq t \leq T$ 
3.    if (states in  $\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_t$  make sense) {
4.      for ( $1 \leq j \leq k$ ) {
5.        if (management of Tape #j by  $\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_t$  does not make sense) {
6.          reject
7.        }
8.      } else {
9.        reject
10.     }
11.   }
12. }

```

Figure 2: Nondeterministic Simulation of a k -Tape Nondeterministic Turing Machine

Correctness of the Simulation in Figure 2

