

Lecture #2: Modelling Computations of Functions I

Lecture Presentation

Proving that an Exponential Function is Primitive Recursive

Consider the function $\text{exp2} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all $x \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\text{exp2}(x) = 2^x.$$

A Base Case:

$$\text{exp2}(0) =$$

Larger Values:

How is $\text{exp2}(t + 1)$ related to $\text{exp2}(t)$, for $t \in \mathbb{N}$?

How can this relationship be described, using primitive recursive functions that we already know?

Working Up To the Target Function:

Give a sequence of functions — that are either already known to be primitive recursive or can be obtained from earlier ones using *composition* or one of the forms of *primitive recursion* — ending with a function that is (equal to) the desired function, *exp2*.

Completing the Argument

The function that you described, at the end of this, probably doesn't look very much like the function, *exp2*, that you are interested in.

State a claim, about these functions, that can be used to conclude that the function *exp2* is also primitive recursive, and say how it can be proved.

Claim:

How This is Proved:

Proving That a Logarithm Function is Primitive Recursive

Consider the function $\log_2 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all $x \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\log_2(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ \lfloor \log_2 x \rfloor & \text{if } x \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

— so that, for a positive input x , $\log_2(x)$ is the largest integer that is less than or equal to the real number $\log_2 x$.

A Base Case:

$$\log_2(0) =$$

Larger Values:

How is $\log_2(t + 1)$ related to $\log_2(t)$, for $t \in \mathbb{N}$?

How can this relationship be described, using primitive recursive functions that we already know? One or more of the **closure properties**, introduced near the end of the lecture, might be useful here.

Working Up To the Target Function:

Give a sequence of functions — that are either already known to be primitive recursive or can be obtained from earlier ones using *composition*, one of the forms of *primitive recursion*, or one of the *closure properties* for primitive recursive functions, given near the end of the lecture — ending with a function that is (equal to) the desired function, $\log_2$.

Completing the Argument

The function that you described, at the end of this, probably doesn't look very much like the function, $\log_2$, that you are interested in.

State a claim, about these functions, that can be used to conclude that the function $\log_2$ is also primitive recursive, and say how it can be proved.

Claim:

How This is Proved:

Have You Seen Something Like This, Before?