

Lecture #10: First Hard Problems

Lecture Presentation

Comparing “Computability of Languages” and “Computability of Sets”

In CPSC 351 (or 331) you were, very probably, introduced to **Turing machines with languages**: These replaced the “halting” state with a pair of “accepting” and “rejecting” states, so that a Turing machine was presented as a 7-tuple

$$M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, q_{\text{accept}}, q_{\text{reject}})$$

- M **accepts** a string $\omega \in \Sigma^*$ if M enters an accepting configuration (that is, a configuration where the machine is in state q_{accept}) after making a finite number of moves, when executed on input ω . M **rejects** ω if M enters a rejecting configuration (that is, a configuration where the machine is in state q_{reject}) after making a finite number of moves, when executed on input ω . M **loops on** ω otherwise.

As defined here (and, possibly, in CPSC 351 or 331 too), $\delta(q, \sigma)$ is defined for every state $q \in Q \setminus \{q_{\text{accept}}, q_{\text{reject}}\}$ and for every tape symbol $\sigma \in \Gamma$ — so M ’s computation on the input ω does not halt if M “loops on” this string (as defined here).

- M **recognizes** a language $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ — so that L is “the language of M ” if M accepts every string $\omega \in L$, and M either rejects or loops on every string $\omega \in \Sigma^*$ such that $\omega \notin L$. M **decides** L if M accepts every string $\omega \in L$ and M rejects every string $\omega \in \Sigma^*$ such that $\omega \notin L$. That is, M **decides** L if M **recognizes** L and, furthermore, the execution of M on every string in Σ^* halts.
- A language $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is **Turing-recognizable** (which is often shortened to “recognizable”) if there exists a Turing machine M such that M recognizes L . L is **Turing-decidable** (often shortened to “decidable”) if there exists a Turing machine M such that M decides L .

The goal of this part of the presentation is to better understand how *recognizable* languages, *decidable* languages, *computable* subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ and *computably enumerable* subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ are related.

Relating Languages to Subsets of $\mathbb{N}$

Relating Turing-Decidable Languages to Computable Sets

Relating Turing-Recognizable Languages to Computably Enumerable Sets

A “Parameter Theorem” for Turing Machines

Recall that, for every positive integer n , $\Phi^{(n)} : \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ was defined to be the function such that, for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\Phi^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y) = f_y(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

where $f_y : \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is the (partial) function computed by the $\mathcal{S}$ -program $\mathcal{P}$ such that $y = \#(\mathcal{P})$. The preparatory reading also included the following technical result — which relates the various functions $\Phi^{(n)}$ for different values of n .

Parameter Theorem: *For all positive integers n and m there exists a primitive recursive function*

$$S_m^n : \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

such that, for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, y \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\Phi^{(m+n)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, y) = \Phi^{(m)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, S_m^n(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, y)).$$

The goal of this document is to describe a similar “Parameter Theorem for Turing Machines” — which one might see, instead of the above “Parameter Theorem”, in a version of this course where Turing machines were being used as the basic model of computation instead of $\mathcal{S}$ -programs.

Getting Started: Enumerating Turing Machines

A Weak Parameter Theorem for Turing Machines

A Stronger Parameter Theorem for Turing Machines

