

- Mandatory: Chapter 3 - Section 3.5


## Reading Assignment



At the end of this section, the student will be able to:

1. Understand the need for variable-length coding (VLC)
2. Understand how compression works
3. Calculate the space savings with VLC
4. Understand decoding problems with VLC
5. Define non-prefix VLC
6. Use Huffman's algorithm and binary trees to assign optimized non-prefix VLC
7. Represent BL trees as nested lists

- Assume we have a file that contains data composed of 6 symbols only:
- A, I, C, D, E, and S (for space)

- Assume we have a file that contains data composed of 6 symbols only:
- A, I, C, D, E, and S (for space)



## Back to Coding

- If the file has 1000 characters, how many bits ( 0 s and 1 s ) are needed to code the file?

Peeking into Computer Science

- The first question is
- How many symbols do we need to represent each character?
- The objective is to keep the size of the file as small as possible
- We have 6 characters (messages) and two symbols (0 and 1)
- 2 is not enough, since $2^{2}$ is 4


## Coding (JT: Review)

- 00 for $A$
- 01 for $S$
- 10 for I
- 11 for E
- We cannot represent the rest C and D
- 3 works, since $2^{3}$ is 8 , so we can represent up to 8 characters and we only have 6

2 bits are not enough (JT: Review)

- Say
- 000 for A
- 001 for $S$
- 010 for I
- 011 for E
- 100 for C
- 101 for D
- 110 not used
- 111 not used

3 bits are more than enough (JT: Review)

- If the file has 1000 characters, how many bits ( 0 s and 1 s ) are needed to code the file?
- Each character needs 3 bits
- Hence, we need $3 \times 1000=3000$ bits
- How does file compression work?
- Huffman's codes reduce the size of a file by using variable-length codes for characters


## Compression

- Analyzing the file we find that
- 35\% are S
- $28 \%$ of the characters are A
- 20\% are E
- 7\% are I
-6\% are C
- $4 \%$ are D
- Some characters are more frequent than others


## Compression

- To reduce the size of the file, we can use shorter codes for frequent characters
We can use longer codes for infrequent characters
- $35 \%$ are $S$ (use 2 bits for $S$ )
- $28 \%$ are A (use 2 bits for A)
- 20\% are E (use 2 bits for E)
- $7 \%$ are I (use 3 bits for I)
- 6\% are C (use 4 bits for C)
- 4\% are D (use 4 bits for D)


## Variable-Length Codes

- If we use this coding, what is the size of the file?
- 350 S's ( $35 \%$ of 1000 ) require $\mathbf{7 0 0}$ bits (2 bits for each S)
- 200 E's require 400 bits (2 bits)
- 280 A's require 560 bits (2 bits)
- 70 I's require 210 bits (3 bits)
- 60 C's require 240 bits (4 bits)
- 40 D's require $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ bits (4 bits)
- Total is $\mathbf{2 2 7 0}$ bits
- Recall that with fixed codes, the size is $\mathbf{3 0 0 0}$ bits
- Compressed file size is about 76\% of original size
- Not any variable-length code works
- Assume A's code is 0
- C's code is 1
- E's code is 01
- The code 0101 could correspond to ACE, EAC, ACAC, or EE


## Problems with Variable-Length

- Codes that work must have the property:
- No code can be the prefix of another code
- Called Non-Prefix Codes
- $\mathbf{0}$ is a prefix of $\underline{\mathbf{0 1},}$ this is why our coding failed
- Another example: 0101 is a prefix of 010111


## Prefix Codes

- Non-Prefix codes can be generated using a binary tree
- Start from a binary tree
- Label edges to left children with 0
- Label edges to right children with 1
- Record the labels on the path from the root to the leaves
- Each path corresponds to a non-prefix code


Non-Prefix Codes from a Binary

- A's code: 00
- B's 0100
- C's 0101
- D's 011
- E's 10

- F's 11


## Non-Prefix Codes from a Binary

. 00
. 0100
. 0101
-011

- 10

- 11


## No code is a prefix of another

-A:00, B:0100, C:0101, D:011, E:10, F:11
-010000011
B A D
No other interpretation
Parsing left to right
(JT's extra: parsing refers to 'reading' or 'breaking into meaningful portions')

## No Confusion

-A:00, B:0100, C:0101, D:011,
E:10, F:11
-1110|10011
F E E D

- So How do we generate such codes?
- Build a binary tree
- The characters in a file are the leaves
- The most frequent characters should be closer to the root, generating shorter codes
- Assign the codes, based on this tree


## Huffman's Coding

1. Assign to each symbol its weight (frequency)
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Each of these is a tree of size one!

## Huffman's Coding - Step 1

2. Choose two trees that have the minimum weights

Replace these two trees with a new tree with new root
Make the tree with the smaller weight a right child
The weight of the new tree is the sum of old weights (JT: next slide)


New tree weight 6+4 = 10
2. Choose two trees that have the minimum weights

Replace these two trees with a new tree with new root Make the tree with the smaller weight a right child
The weight of the new tree is the sum of old weights
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Huffman's Coding - Step 2

- Repeat Step 2 until we have a single tree


2. Choose two trees that have the minimum weights Replace these two trees with a new tree with new root Make the tree with the smaller weight a right child The weight of the new tree is the sum of old weights
3. Choose two trees that have the minimum weights

Replace these two trees with a new tree with new root
Make the tree with the smaller weight a right child
The weight of the new tree is the sum of old weights


New tree weight $10+7=17$





3. Label left edges with 0 and right edges with 1



- 35\% are S
- 28\% are A
- 20\% are E
-7\% are I
-6\% are C
- 4\% are D


## More Frequent Characters have shorter codes

- If we use this coding, what is the size of the file?
- 350 S's ( $35 \%$ of 1000 ) require $\mathbf{7 0 0}$ bits (2 bits for each S)
- 280 A's require 560 bits
- 200 E's require 400 bits
- 70 I's require 210 bits
- 60 C's require 240 bits
- 40 D's require 160 bits
- Total is $\mathbf{2 2 7 0}$ bits
- Recall that with fixed codes, the size is $\mathbf{3 0 0 0}$ bits
- Compressed file size is about 76\% of original size


## Recall This Analysis?

- 1925-1999
- US Electrical Engineer
- Contributions in coding theory, signal design for radar and communications, and logic circuits
- He wrote his coding algorithm as a graduate students at MIT
.

- Even though coding gave C and D codes of length 4 (compared to 3 in fixed coding), it was beneficial
- No code generated by Huffman's method can be a prefix of another code
- Many compression tools use a combination of different coding methods, Huffman's is among them


## Concluding Notes

- Image and video files


